Interview Summary 09/863,709	
Examiner Duc M. Nguyen 2685	_
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Duc M. Nguyen. (2) Jack Kail. (3) (4) Date of Interview: 11/1/ 2004. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: N/A. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The interview related to the status of the case regarding the Restriction requireme. The applicant has elected without traverse claims 12-18 in a response filed sometime in April 2004. However, the response has not received by the PTO. Therefore, an election is made by Applicant on the phone, which elects claims.	
(1) <u>Duc M. Nguyen</u> . (2) <u>Jack Kail</u> . (4) Date of Interview: <u>11/1/ 2004</u> . Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: <u>N/A</u> . Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> . Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The inteview related to the status of the case regarding the Restriction requireme.</u> The applicant has elected without traverse claims 12-18 in a response filed sometime in April 2004. However, the response has not received by the PTO. Therefore, an election is made by Applicant on the phone, which elects claims.	
Date of Interview: 11/1/ 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: N/A. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The inteview related to the status of the case regarding the Restriction requirement applicant has elected without traverse claims 12-18 in a response filed sometime in April 2004. However, the response has not received by the PTO. Therefore, an election is made by Applicant on the phone, which elects claims.	
Date of Interview: 11/1/ 2004. Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: N/A. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The inteview related to the status of the case regarding the Restriction requireme. The applicant has elected without traverse claims 12-18 in a response filed sometime in April 2004. However, the response has not received by the PTO. Therefore, an election is made by Applicant on the phone, which elects claims.	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: N/A. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The inteview related to the status of the case regarding the Restriction requirements applicant has elected without traverse claims 12-18 in a response filed sometime in April 2004. However, the response has not received by the PTO. Therefore, an election is made by Applicant on the phone, which elects claims.	
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: N/A. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The inteview related to the status of the case regarding the Restriction requirement applicant has elected without traverse claims 12-18 in a response filed sometime in April 2004. However, the response has not received by the PTO. Therefore, an election is made by Applicant on the phone, which elects claims.	
If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: N/A. Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The inteview related to the status of the case regarding the Restriction requirement applicant has elected without traverse claims 12-18 in a response filed sometime in April 2004. However, the response has not received by the PTO. Therefore, an election is made by Applicant on the phone, which elects claims.	
Identification of prior art discussed: N/A. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The inteview related to the status of the case regarding the Restriction requireme. The applicant has elected without traverse claims 12-18 in a response filed sometime in April 2004. However, the response has not received by the PTO. Therefore, an election is made by Applicant on the phone, which elects claims.	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The inteview related to the status of the case regarding the Restriction requireme. The applicant has elected without traverse claims 12-18 in a response filed sometime in April 2004. However, the response has not received by the PTO. Therefore, an election is made by Applicant on the phone, which elects claims.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The inteview related to the status of the case regarding the Restriction requirements applicant has elected without traverse claims 12-18 in a response filed sometime in April 2004. However, the response has not received by the PTO. Therefore, an election is made by Applicant on the phone, which elects claims.</u>	
reached, or any other comments: The inteview related to the status of the case regarding the Restriction requirement. The applicant has elected without traverse claims 12-18 in a response filed sometime in April 2004. However, the response has not received by the PTO. Therefore, an election is made by Applicant on the phone, which elects claims.	
	<u>ms</u>
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the clair allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ns
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	;
	•
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action. Examiner's signature, if required	